REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-36 are pending in the present application. No claims are amended by the present amendment, thus, no new matter is added.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13-18, 29-31 and 33-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Wilson et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,467,170, herein "Wilson") in view of Kanematu (U.S. Pat. No. 7,130,066); and Claims 3-6, 9-12, 19-28, 32 and 36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Wilson and Kanematu in view of Kimoto et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,390,005, herein "Kimoto").

Addressing now the rejection of Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13-18, 29-31 and 33-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over <u>Wilson</u> and <u>Kanematu</u>, this rejection is respectfully traversed.

As discussed with Examiner Luu on September 14, 2007, the <u>Kanematu</u> reference has a filing date that is after the effective filing date of the present Application. Specifically, <u>Kanematu</u> has a filing date of September 2, 1999; in contrast, the present application has an effective filing date of December 24, 1998.

Thus, Applicants note that under no provision of 35 U.S.C. §102 or §103 is the Kanematu reference available to be used to reject the present application.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13-18, 29-31 and 33-35 under <u>Wilson</u> and <u>Kanematu</u> as well as the rejection of Claims 3-6, 9-12, 19-28, 32 and 36 under <u>Wilson</u>, <u>Kanematu</u> and <u>Kimoto</u>, be withdrawn as the rejection under §103(a) is improper.

In addition, as Applicants have not substantively amended the claims in response to any rejection of record, should a further rejection be applied in the next Action based upon Application No. 10/822,674

Reply to Office Action of 8/10/2007

newly cited prior art, Applicants submit that such an action cannot properly be considered

a Final Office Action.

Consequently, in light of the above discussion, the outstanding grounds for rejection

are believed to have been overcome. The application herewith is believed to be in condition

for formal allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/07)

James J. Kulbaski

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 34,648

I:\atty\JL\251708us\251708us_REQ_REC(9.17.2007).DOC

Edward W. Tracy Registration No. 47,998